05-CV-00416-ORD

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

MAGISTRATE JUDGE MONICA J. BENTON

3			
4			
5			
6	•		
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON		
9	AT SEATTLE		
0 1 2 3 4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, vs. GUADALUPE SERRANO-HERNANDEZ, Defendant.	NO. 05-544M (District Court No.CR05-0416RSL) (PROPOSED) ORDER GRANTING STIPULATED MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE INDICTMENT	
7			

THIS MATTER having come before the Court on the stipulated motion of the parties for an order extending the time within which an indictment shall be filed, and the Court having considered the stipulated motion of the parties, together with the balance of the records and files herein, the Court now finds and rules as follows:

On November 16, 2005, defendant was arrested and a complaint was filed. The defendant made his initial appearance before the Magistrate Judge in the Western District of Washington on that same date.

The parties are seeking an order continuing the time within which an indictment must be filed from December 16, 2005, to January 16, 2006, on the grounds that the ends of justice served by a continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy

1

(PROPOSED) ORDER GRANTING STIPULATED MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE INDICTMENT (GUADALUPE SERRANO-HERNANDEZ)-05-544M-

FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER 1601 Fifth Avenue, Suite 700 Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 553-1100 indictment. Specifically, the failure to grant a continuance will likely result in a miscarriage of justice, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(8)(B)(1), and would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(8)(B)(iv).

In support of this ruling, the Court makes the following findings:

- 1. Pursuant to the United States Attorney's "fast track" plea policy in illegal reentry cases, it offered the defendant a plea to a misdemeanor count and a two-year felony count for reentry in violation of Title 8, U.S.C. § 1325. Upon further investigation, it was determined that such a resolution was not feasible since the first entry alleged by the government took place in 1979, when Mr. Serrano-Hernandez was only 12 years old.
- 2. The government's response to this problem was to offer a plea to a more serious felony count of illegal reentry as an aggravated felon which carries a twenty-year maximum penalty. While the government also agreed to offer the defendant a thirty-month cap by agreeing that the plea could be entered under Rule 11(c)(1)(C), which would allow the defendant to withdraw his plea if the Court determined it would impose a higher sentence, the defendant wishes to conduct a complete and thorough investigation of his possible defenses before making a final decision on the government's revised plea offer.
- 3. Because it is the government's policy that its "fast track" plea offers expire once an indictment is returned, the defendant is requesting a thirty-day extension of time for filing of the indictment, so that his investigation can be completed before having to make his decision and respond to the government's offer.
- 4. The defendant has specifically identified two areas of investigation that need to be completed. A complete review of his immigration or "A" file, and an investigation of the circumstances surrounding his arrest.
 - 5. The Court further finds that the parties have acted with due diligence in this case.

Case 2:05-cr-00416-RSL Document 15 Filed 12/15/05 Page 3 of 3 Case 2:05-cr-00416-RSL Document 14-2 Filed 12/12/2005 Page 3 of 3

Therefore, it appearing to the Court that the failure to extend the date within which an 1 indictment must be filed would likely result in a miscarriage of justice, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 2 § 3161(8)(B)(1), and would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time for effective 3 preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 4 § 3161(8)(B)(iv), and it further appearing to the Court that the ends of justice served by 5 granting the request of continuance outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a 6 7 speedy trial, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the stipulated motion of the parties to extend the time 8 9 within which an indictment shall be filed is GRANTED; IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the time within which an indictment shall be filed is 10 hereby extended from December 16, 2005, to January 16, 2006; 11 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the period of delay from December 16, 2005 until 12 January 16, 2006, is excludable time, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A), for the purpose 13 of computing the time limitations imposed by the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161-3174. 14 DATED this 15 day of December, 2005. 15 16 17 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 18 Presented by: 19 s/ Michael Filipovic Bar No. 12319 20 Assistant Federal Public Defender Attorney for Guadalupe Serrano-Hernandez Federal Public Defender 1601 Fifth Avenue, Suite 700 22 Seattle, WA 98101 23 Tel. (206) 553-1100 Fax (206) 553-0120 24 Michael Filipovic@fd.org 25

s/ Janet Freeman Assistant United States Attorney

26

(PROPOSED) ORDER GRANTING STIPULATED MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE INDICTMENT (GUADALUPE SERRANO-HERNANDEZ)-05-544M-

FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER 1601 Fifth Avenue, Suite 700 Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 553-1100